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#### Abstract

Low-temperature series expansions for the zero-field partition function and order parameter of the three-state Potts model have been extended to $u^{31}$. Estimates for $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta$ show rather poor convergence but are consistent with the values $\alpha^{\prime}=\frac{1}{3}, \beta=\frac{1}{9}$ which characterise the 'hard hexagons' lattice gas.


The problem of determining the critical exponents of the three-state Potts model in two dimensions has proved particularly difficult. Both series analysis and renormalisation group techniques have been used, producing a number of conflicting estimates.

The question of the exponents is of current interest for two reasons:
(i) den Nijs (1979) has conjectured that $\alpha=\alpha^{\prime}=\frac{1}{3}$. This conjecture is a special case of a relation that serves to unify critical and tricritical points (Nienhuis et al 1979) and so it is particularly interesting.
(ii) Baxter (1980) has obtained an exact solution for the 'hard hexagons' problem and finds that $\alpha=\frac{1}{3}, \beta=\frac{1}{9}$. The hard hexagons system is a lattice gas on the triangular lattice with nearest-neighbour exclusion. Alexander (1975) suggested that this type of system should have the same exponents as the three-state Potts model.
In order to test these ideas, low-temperature series for the reduced partition function $Z$ and the order parameter $M$ have been extended to order $u^{31}$ (for zero field) using the finite-lattice method (de Neef and Enting 1977) in the manner described by Enting (1978).

The series are of the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z=1+\sum_{n=4}^{\infty} a_{n} u^{n}=1+2 u^{4}+4 u^{6}+\ldots \\
& M=1-\sum_{n=4}^{\infty} b_{n} u^{n}=1-3 u^{4}-12 u^{6}-\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficients $a_{n}, b_{n}, n \leqslant 31$ are given in table 1 .
The analysis of these series shows that the convergence of the exponent estimates is rather poor. This may well be due to significant correction terms which modify the assumed power-law behaviour.

Among the many exponent estimates, those of Zwansig and Ramshaw (1977) giving $\alpha^{\prime}=0.296 \pm 0.002$ and Miyashita et al (1979) giving $\beta=0.1064 \pm 0.0005$ are of particular significance because of the high precision that was claimed.

Table 1. Coefficients in the expansion of $Z$ and $M$

$$
Z=1+\sum_{n=4}^{\infty} a_{n} u^{n}, \quad M=1-\sum_{n=4}^{\infty} b_{n} u^{n}
$$

| $n$ | $a_{n}$ | $b_{n}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 4 | 12 |
| 7 | 4 | 12 |
| 8 | 6 | 36 |
| 9 | 24 | 108 |
| 10 | 24 | 210 |
| 11 | 68 | 480 |
| 12 | 190 | 1746 |
| 13 | 192 | 2340 |
| 14 | 904 | 10566 |
| 15 | 1420 | 19500 |
| 16 | 3160 | 53976 |
| 17 | 9940 | 152604 |
| 18 | 14572 | 329424 |
| 19 | 49268 | 971304 |
| 20 | 102886 | 2403291 |
| 21 | 225004 | 5955576 |
| 22 | 652940 | 16858584 |
| 23 | 1301256 | 40337376 |
| 24 | 3513806 | 110301321 |
| 25 | 8591792 | 287061696 |
| 26 | 19326248 | 730223208 |
| 27 | 52781148 | 1985703720 |
| 28 | 120709472 | 5070001716 |
| 29 | 306339824 | 13446444720 |
| 30 | 779682608 | 35650214232 |
| 31 | 1852672272 | 92442918828 |
|  |  |  |

Zwansig and Ramshaw based their analysis on a Neville table extrapolation in which the second-order extrapolants were steadily increasing while the third-order extrapolants were steadily decreasing. In actual fact, the trend in the second-order extrapolants is reversed by the $u^{17}$ term and for $n>20$, all the first- to fifth-order extrapolants (estimates of $2-\alpha^{\prime} / 2$ ) show a steady decline.

By order 31 the estimate of $\alpha$ has increased to about 0.31 but the slow convergence suggests that the Neville tables are not the best way to extrapolate the series. The value $\alpha=\alpha^{\prime}=\frac{1}{3}$ is quite consistent with the trends observed for $n>20$.

In an attempt to obtain $\alpha^{\prime}$ by an alternative means, series for the energy were analysed, exploiting the fact that the critical energy is known exactly (Kihara et al 1954).

The function analysed was $E_{s}$ where

$$
E_{s}=U_{c}-U=\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\right)-u(\mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{d} u) \ln Z .
$$

The expected behaviour is $E_{s} \sim\left(u_{c}-u\right)^{1-\alpha^{\prime}}$ so Padé approximants to ( $u_{c}-$ $u)(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} u) \ln E_{s}$ should give estimates of $\alpha^{\prime}-1$. These estimates are given in table 2 and they show a steady trend towards smaller values of $\alpha^{\prime}$ as the number of series terms

Table 2. Exponent estimates for $1-\alpha$ and $\beta$ obtained by evaluating Padé approximants to $\left(u_{c}-u\right)(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} u) \ln \left(U_{c}-U\right)$ and $\left(u_{c}-u\right)(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} u) \ln M$ at $u=u_{c}$.

| $[N, M]$ | Estimates of $1-\alpha$ | Estimates of $\beta$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 8,7 | 0.6032 | - |
| 7,8 | 0.6036 | - |
| 8,8 | 0.6068 | 0.10709 |
| 9,8 | 0.6344 | 0.10675 |
| 8,9 | 1.0883 | 0.10705 |
| 9,9 | 0.6176 | 0.10564 |
| 10,9 | 0.6160 | 0.10786 |
| 9,10 | 0.6161 | 0.10955 |
| 10,10 | 0.6162 | 0.10842 |
| 11,10 | 0.6153 | 0.10861 |
| 10,11 | 0.6160 | 0.10855 |
| 11,11 | 0.6159 | 0.10865 |
| 12,11 | 0.6156 | 0.10860 |
| 11,12 | 0.6160 | 0.10836 |
| 12,12 | 0.6180 | 0.11275 |
| 13,12 | 0.6289 | 0.10913 |
| 12,13 | 0.5462 | 0.10896 |
| 13,13 | 0.6229 | 0.10916 |
| 14,13 | 0.6252 | 0.10912 |
| 13,14 | 0.6267 | 0.10930 |
| 14,14 | 0.6279 | 0.10927 |
| 15,14 | 0.6251 | 0.11006 |
| 14,15 | 0.6267 | 0.10930 |
| 15,15 | - | 0.10685 |

increases. Again this trend is consistent with $\alpha^{\prime}=\frac{1}{3}$ but the rate of convergence is disappointingly slow.

The order parameter series was analysed by constructing Padé approximants to ( $\left.u_{c}-u\right)(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} u) \ln M$ and evaluating them at $u=u_{c}=(\sqrt{3}-1) / 2$ to give estimates of $\beta$. These estimates are listed in table 2. The estimates using short series are in agreement with the value 0.1064 obtained by Miyashita et al but as more series terms are included the estimates increase steadily to about $0 \cdot 109$. Again the trend is consistent with the hard hexagons exponent.

Watts (1974) pointed out the possibility of extrapolating exponent estimates from $[M, N]$ Padé approximants by plotting them against $(M+N)^{-1}$. When the $\alpha^{\prime}, \beta$ estimates from table 2 are plotted in this way the results are consistent with linear trends towards $\frac{1}{3}$ and $\frac{1}{9}$ respectively, but the scatter in the $\alpha^{\prime}$ estimates (and to a lesser extent in the $\beta$ estimates) is too large to allow for useful extrapolations.

The surprising aspect of the 'hard hexagons' exponents is that scaling gives $\delta=14$. If the value $\delta=14$ did apply to Potts models it would indicate that the errors in series estimates for $\delta$ had been underestimated in the same way that errors in $\alpha, \beta$ were underestimated. If $\delta$ did vary with $q$, the number of states in the Potts model, then the $q=1$ case (bond percolation) which as $\delta \approx 18$ (Gaunt and Sykes 1976) would no longer appear as an anomaly.

A more extensive analysis of these series is currently in progress. Unfortunately the finite lattice method is not a particularly efficient technique for obtaining high-field expansions and so a direct test of the $\delta=14$ conjecture may not be possible.
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